## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS FORTH WORTH DIVISION

)
)
)
)
) Civil Action No. 4:16-cv-952-O
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

## **JOINT STATUS REPORT**

On December 13, 2016, the Court found that a hearing in this matter is required and ordered that Petitioner Martha Kinard ("Petitioner" or "the NLRB") and Respondent DISH Network L.L.C. ("Respondent" or "DISH," incorrectly captioned as "DISH Network Company") (collectively "the Parties") file a joint status report, describing the testimony and other evidence they anticipate presenting during the hearing, and providing an estimate of how much time each side will need to present its case.

#### I. The Scope of the Hearing

The Parties present the following list of witnesses and exhibits to the Court in light of the Petitioner's unopposed motion to try this case on the basis of the record developed before National Labor Relations Board Administrative Law Judge Ringler, supplemented as necessary with evidence bearing on the issue of the equitable necessity of injunctive relief in this case (*i.e.*, whether injunctive relief is "just and proper") (Doc. No. 5). The "reasonable cause" element of the two-part test applicable to this case has been thoroughly addressed in the administrative record. Therefore, the Parties recommend that the evidence to be presented at the hearing focus

on the "just and proper" element. If this recommendation is adopted, the Parties anticipate that the hearing would last about two days. The Parties jointly request that if the Court desires additional evidence regarding reasonable cause, they be provided an opportunity to supplement this list.

### II. Petitioner's Anticipated Testimony and Evidence

Through the witnesses listed below, Petitioner intends to show that Respondent's actions have resulted in a continuous loss of Union-supporting employees, including a key supporter; that more Union-supporting employees and key employees have concrete plans to leave the Employer soon; and that many employees who leave/have left will not return by the time of an eventual final Board Order. Additionally, Petitioner intends to show that Respondent's actions have frustrated support for the Union among current employees and have served to alienate new employees from the Union. Together, Petitioner asserts that this evidence will show that, absent the granting of the requested injunctive relief, at the time of a final Board Order, the Union will be in the extremely weak position of representing a Unit that has been drained of supporters and the Board Order will be meaningless.

Petitioner estimates that the presentation of its witnesses and other evidence should last no more than one day. Petitioner intends to call roughly ten live witnesses (Nos. 1-10 below). With respect to twelve other witnesses (Nos. 11 – 22), the point of their testimony is simple: whether they intend to return to work if offered reinstatement at the QPC wage rate. Petitioner intends to prepare and submit limited affidavits in lieu of live testimony for witnesses Nos. 11-22.

No.	Name	Title	Subject of Expected Testimony
1.	Jason	Current	Mr. Morris is the leader for the Union at Farmers Branch.
	Morris	Farmers	He will testify that he intends to quit and possibly move out

No.	Name	Title	Subject of Expected Testimony
		Branch	of the State because he cannot afford to live on his current
		Technician	wages at Dish.
			Morris will also testify about the intentions of other
			employees to quit.
2.	Carl Austin	Current	Mr. Miles will testify about the effect of Manager Waeland
	Miles	North	Thomas' instruction to employees not to speak to new
		Richland	employees about the Union.
		Hills	
		Technician	Mr. Miles will also testify about his own intentions to quit
			because he cannot afford to live on his current wages at Dish.
			DISII.
			Miles will also testify about support for the Union and the
			intentions of other employees to quit.
3.	Sylvia	Assistant	Ms. Ramos will testify regarding the effect of the wage cuts
	Ramos	Vice	on Union support and regarding her ability to negotiate on
		President of	behalf of a decimated Unit.
		the CWA	
		District 6	
4.	Daniel	Current	Mr. Jensen will testify that he intends to quit and move out
	Jensen	Farmers	of the State because he cannot afford to live on his current
		Branch	wages at Dish.
		Technician	
			Mr. Jensen will also testify about employee dissatisfaction
5.	David	Current	with the Union that has resulted from the wage cut.  Mr. Teague will testify that he is already training for a truck
٥.	Teague	Farmers	driving position at another company and intends to quit
	reague	Branch	when he has completed his training and when the trucking
		Technician	company opens up its next round of hiring because he
			cannot afford to live on his current wages at Dish.
6.	Sergio	Current	Mr. Villegas will testify that he is remaining with Dish until
	Villegas	North	his retirement plan vests in February 2017 at which point he
		Richland	intends to quit because he cannot afford to live on his
		Hills	current wages at Dish.
		Technician	
			Mr. Villegas will also testify with respect to the effect of the
7	Chris Mass	Former	statement of Waeland Thomas.
7.	Chris Moss	Former North	Mr. Moss was the leader of the Union at North Richland
		Richland	Hills until he quit in November 2016. Mr. Moss will testify that he quit because of the reduction in wages.
		Hills	that he quit occause of the reduction in wages.
		Technician	
8.	Josh Moss	Former	Mr. Moss quit working for Dish in November 2016. He will
		North	testify that he quit because of the reduction in wages.
		Richland	

No.	Name	Title	Subject of Expected Testimony
		Hills	
		Technician	
9.	Michael	Former	Mr. Camp quit working for Dish in December 2016. He
	Camp	North	will testify that he quit because of the reduction in wages
		Richland	and because of the change in healthcare benefits.
		Hills	
1.0	-	Technician	
10.	Bryce	Former	Mr. Benge will testify that currently he is not interested in
	Benge	North	returning to Dish Network.
		Richland	
		Hills Technician	
11.	Robert	Former	Mr. MacDonald will testify that currently he would accept
11.	Seth	North	an offer to return to employment at Dish Network if the
	MacDonald	Richland	QPC wage rate was restored.
	WacDonaid	Hills	QI C wage rate was restored.
		Technician	
12.	John	Former	Mr. Carson will testify that currently he would accept an
12.	Carson	North	offer to return to employment at Dish Network if the QPC
		Richland	wage rate was restored.
		Hills	
		Technician	
13.	Christopher	Former	Mr. Little will testify that currently he would accept an offer
	Little	North	to return to employment at Dish Network if the QPC wage
		Richland	rate was restored.
		Hills	
1.1	5	Technician	
14.	David	Former	Mr. Dingle will testify that currently he is interested in
	Dingle	North	returning to work at Dish Network.
		Richland Hills	
		Technician	
15.	John Burns	Former	Mr. Burns will testify that currently he would accept an
15.	Join Duins	North	offer to return to employment at Dish Network if the QPC
		Richland	wage rate was restored.
		Hills	
		Technician	
16.	Marcus	Former	Mr. Tillman will testify that currently he would accept an
	Tillman	Farmers	offer to return to employment at Dish Network if the QPC
		Branch	wage rate was restored.
		Technician	
17.	Severo	Former	Mr. Hernandez will testify that currently he would accept an
	Hernandez	North	offer to return to employment at Dish Network if the QPC
		Richland	wage rate was restored.
		Hills	

No.	Name	Title	Subject of Expected Testimony
		Technician	
18.	Aaron	Former	Mr. Mason will testify that he is not interested in returning
	Mason	North	to Dish Network even if the QPC wage rate was restored.
		Richland	
		Hills	
		Technician	
19.	Aaron	Former	Mr. Kubesch will testify that he is not interested in returning
	Kubesch	North	to Dish Network even if the QPC wage rate was restored.
		Richland	
		Hills	
		Technician	
20.	Salvador	Former	Mr. Bernadino will testify that he is not interested in
	Bernadino	North	returning to Dish Network even if the QPC wage rate was
		Richland	restored.
		Hills	
		Technician	
21.	Scott	Former	Mr. Dehart will testify that he is not interested in returning
	Dehart	North	to Dish Network even if the QPC wage rate was restored.
		Richland	
		Hills	
		Technician	
22.	Kenneth	Former	Mr. Daniel lives out of the State, his affidavit will
	Blake	North	demonstrate that he is not interested in returning to Dish
	Daniel	Richland	Network.
		Hills	
		Technician	

Petitioner intends to enter the following exhibits:

No.	Description
1.	Employee Rosters showing employment at the Unit facilities on March 1, 2016 and
	December 9, 2016
2.	Documents showing the discrepancy between pay for Unit employees and nearby
	offices
3.	A text message sent by technician Daniel Jensen to a group of Farmers Branch
	technicians on November 15, 2016 showing diminution in support for the Union

# III. Respondent's Anticipated Testimony and Evidence

Respondent proffers witnesses to rebut Petitioner's contentions that the "extraordinary remedy" sought by the NLRB is just and proper. Respondent anticipates that, including cross

examination, it will take one day to present its case. Respondent hopes that it will not be necessary to call all of the witnesses listed below; their necessity will depend largely on the testimony of Petitioner's witnesses.

Respondent also reserves the right to request the Court provide Respondent an opportunity to cross examine the witnesses whose testimony Petitioner plans to introduce through affidavits (*i.e.*, Petitioner Witnesses 11-22). Respondent will be in a better position to assess the need for cross examination after Petitioner produces to Respondent a copy of the affidavits.

No.	Name	Title	Subject of Expected Testimony
1.	Monty	Regional	DISH's pay systems and market wage rates; DISH's
	Beckham	Director of	retention and attrition rates in the region as compared
		Operations for	to retention and attrition at the offices at issue; hiring at
		the South	the offices; and plans for the offices.
		Central	
		Region, DISH	
2.	Waeland	Operations	Response to allegations of Mr. Thomas's instructions
	Thomas	Manager,	to employees regarding union discussions; from field
		DISH	perspective, testify regarding staffing and current
			operations at DISH's North Richland Hills office.
3.	Thomas	Region	From operational perspective, testify regarding attrition
	Nicholas	Manager,	and recent hires at DISH's North Richland Hills office;
		DISH	plans related to future hiring; long-term plans for the
			office.
4.	Keith Barton	Region	From operational perspective, testify regarding attrition
		Manager,	and recent hires at DISH's Farmers Branch office;
		DISH	plans related to future hiring; long-term plans for the
	T		office.
5.	Eric King	Operations	From field perspective, testify regarding staffing and
		Manager,	current operations at DISH's Farmers Branch office.
	0.1.	DISH	TI CWA
6.	Sylvia	Assistant Vice	The CWA's recent communications to its bargaining
	Ramos	President,	unit members; responses from bargaining unit
		District 6,	members.
	TD.	CWA	TIL CWA
7.	Tony	Staff	The CWA's recent communications to its bargaining
	Shaffer	Representative,	unit members; responses from bargaining unit
		CWA	members.

No.	Name	Title	Subject of Expected Testimony
8.	Bargaining		The CWA's recent communications to its bargaining
	unit member		unit members; responses from bargaining unit
	to be		members.
	determined		
9.	Witness to		Reasonableness of compensation currently paid to
	be		bargaining unit employees in market.
	determined		

Respondent intends to enter the following exhibits:

No.	Description
1.	Attrition in Region and Comparison to Unionized Offices
2.	Wages in Region and Comparison to Unionized Offices
3.	Recent Hires and Staffing Goals

### IV. Discovery

Respondent intends to serve limited written discovery on an expedited basis, to be served by Wednesday, December 21, 2016. Respondent requests that responses to its discovery requests be served by Petitioner within 14 days, by January 4, 2017, or within such time as the Court deems proper. Petitioner is unaware of the nature of the discovery Respondent intends to serve and may challenge it if appropriate.

### V. Scheduling

Counsel for Petitioner will be out of town from December 23, 2016 through January 4, 2017, but has no scheduling conflicts thereafter.

Counsel for Respondent does not anticipate a scheduling conflict.

### Respectfully submitted,

S/

David A. Foley (Florida No. 74352 david.foley@nlrb.gov Becky Mata (Texas No. 24067746) karla.mata@nlrb.gov NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD, REGION 16 819 Taylor Street, Room 8A24

Fort Worth, TX 76102-6178 Telephone: (682) 703-7221 Facsimile: (817) 978-2928

Attorneys for Petitioner

S/

Brian D. Balonick (pro hac)
brian.balonick@bipc.com
David J. Strauss (pro hac)
david.strauss@bipc.com
BUCHANAN INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC
301 Grant Street, 20th Floor
Bittsburgh, PA 15210

Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Phone: 412-562-8800 Fax: 412-562-1041

Dan Hartsfield dan.hartsfield@jacksonlewis.com JACKSON LEWIS, P.C. 500 N. Akard St., Suite 2500 Dallas, TX 75201 (214) 520-2400 (Phone) (214) 520-2008 (Fax)

Attorneys for Respondent

Dated: December 16, 2016